arXiv:math/9906069v2 [math.QA] 8 Sep 1999

The Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver and canonical bases of Fock spaces

Olivier Schiffmann

0.1 Introduction. The Lusztig formula for characters of the simple finite-dimensional modules of the quantum group $\mathbf{U}_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_k)$, where $\epsilon = e^{2i\pi/n}$, gives the multiplicity of the Weyl module W_μ of $\mathbf{U}_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_k)$ with highest weight μ in the simple $\mathbf{U}_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_k)$-module V_λ with highest weight λ. Namely,

$$[V_\lambda : W_\mu] = \sum_y (-1)^{l(xy)} P_{y,x}(1),$$

where $x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_k$ is minimal such that $\nu = \lambda.x^{-1}$ satisfies $\nu_i < \nu_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2 \ldots k-1$ and $\nu_i - \nu_k \geq 1 - k - n$, and $\mu = \lambda.x^{-1}y$. This conjecture is proved by Kazhdan-Lusztig [KL] and Kashiwara-Tanisaki [KT]. The proof relies on an equivalence between the category of finite-dimensional $\mathbf{U}_\epsilon(\mathfrak{sl}_k)$-modules and a category of negative-level representations of the affine algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k$ which are integrable with respect to $\mathfrak{sl}_k$. In [VV], Varagnolo and Vasserot propose a new approach to this conjecture, based on the geometric constructions of simple finite-dimensional $\mathbf{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$-modules of [GV] and on the theory of canonical bases. Let $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ be the generic Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ (defined over the ring $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$) and let $\mathbf{B}$ be the intersection cohomology basis of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$. Let Λ^∞ be the Fock space representation of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ (see [KMS] and [VV]), and let $\mathbf{B}^\pm$ be the Leclerc-Thibon canonical bases of Λ^∞ (see [LT]). Varagnolo and Vasserot show that the Lusztig formula follows from the equality $\mathbf{B}|0\rangle = \mathbf{B}^+$, where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum vector of Λ^∞. In this paper, we give a direct proof of this equality, which can be thought of as a q-analogue of the Lusztig conjecture. This also yields a proof of the positivity conjecture for the basis $\mathbf{B}^+$ (see [LLT1], Conjecture 6.9 i)). Note that the equality $\mathbf{B}|0\rangle = \mathbf{B}^+$ does not follow from the general theory developped in [K] or [L] since the Fock space is not irreducible as a $\mathbf{U}_q^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module. We also formulate and prove an analogue of the conjecture of Varagnolo and Vasserot for higher level Fock space representations $\Lambda^\infty_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ (see [JMMO]). The corresponding canonical bases have been recently introduced by Uglov, [U].

Our proof relies on the construction of an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{U}_n^- \simeq \mathbf{U}_q^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots].$$

The central subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}][\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots]$ of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ is linearly spanned by elements of the *dual* canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$. By a quantum affine version of the Schur-Weyl duality, it also admits an interpretation in terms of the Bernstein center $Z(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_l)$ of the affine Hecke algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_l$ of type A_l for $l \leq n$. We consider a basis (a_λ) of the central subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[v,v^{-1}][\mathbf{z}_1,\mathbf{z}_2,\dots]$ of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ corresponding to Schur polynomials and describe its action on Λ^∞. The equality $\mathbf{B}|0\rangle = \mathbf{B}^+$ follows from the caracterization of $\mathbf{B}^+$ in terms of a lattice and the $^-$-involution of Λ^∞.

Finally, we note that a proof of the Lusztig conjecture (but not of its q-analogue) using the above ideas has been recently found by B. Leclerc (see [Le]).

0.2 Notations. Set $\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{C}[v]$, $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{C}[v,v^{-1}]$ and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}(v)$. Throughout the paper we fix some integer $n>1$. Let q be a prime power and let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field with q^2 elements. Let (ϵ_i), $i\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. For $i\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $l\in\mathbb{N}^*$, define the *cyclic segment* $[i;l)$ to be the image of the projection to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of the segment $[i_0, i_0+l-1]\subset\mathbb{Z}$ for any $i_0\equiv i \pmod n$. A *cyclic multisegment* is a linear combination $\mathbf{m}=\sum_{i,l}a_i^l[i;l)$ of cyclic segments with coefficients $a_i^l\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of cyclic multisegments. For $\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}$, we put $|\mathbf{m}|=\sum_{i,l}a_i^l$ and dim $\mathbf{m}=\sum_{l,i}a_i^l(\epsilon_i+\ldots+\epsilon_{i+l-1})\in\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. Let Π denote the set of partitions and let $\Pi_D\subset\Pi$ be the subset formed by partitions with at most D parts.

1 Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver.

1.1 Let Q be the quiver of type $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$, i.e the oriented graph with vertex set $I=\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and edge set $\Omega=\{(i,i+1), i\in I\}$. For any I-graded $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $V=\bigoplus_{i\in I}V_i$ let $E_V\subset\bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\Omega}\mathrm{Hom}\,(V_i,V_j)$ denote the space of nilpotent representations of Q, i.e collections of linear maps $(\sigma_i : V_i\to V_j, (i,j)\in\Omega)$ satisfying the following condition: for any $i_1\in I$ there exists $r\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_{i_r}\ldots\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_1}=0$ for any $i_2,\ldots i_r$. The group $G_V=\prod_{i\in I}GL(V_i)$ acts on E_V by conjugation. For each $i\in I$ there exists a unique simple Q-module S_i of dimension ϵ_i, and for each pair $(i,l)\in I\times\mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable Q-module $S_{i;l}$ of length l and tail S_i. Furthermore, every nilpotent Q-module M admits an essentially unique decomposition

$$M\simeq\bigoplus_{i,l}a_i^l S_{i;l}. \tag{1.1}$$

The classification of Q-modules is independent of the base field. We denote by $\overline{\mathbf{m}}$ the isomorphism class of Q-modules corresponding (by (1.1)) to the multisegment $\mathbf{m}=\sum_{i,l}a_i^l[i;l)$.

1.2 We recall the Lusztig construction (see [L]). For any I-graded vector space V, let $\mathbb{C}_G(E_V)$ be the set of G_V-invariant functions $E_V\to\mathbb{C}$. For each $\mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{N}^I$, let us fix an I-graded vector space $V_\mathbf{d}$ of dimension $\mathbf{d}$. Given $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\in\mathbb{N}^I$ such that $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{d}$, consider the diagram

$$E_{V_\mathbf{a}}\times E_{V_\mathbf{b}}\overset{p_1}{\leftarrow}E\overset{p_2}{\rightarrow}F\overset{p_3}{\rightarrow}E_{V_\mathbf{d}},$$

where E is the set of triples (x,ϕ,ψ) such that $x\in E_{V_\mathbf{d}}$,

$$0\to V_\mathbf{a}\overset{\phi}{\to}V_\mathbf{d}\overset{\psi}{\to}V_\mathbf{b}\to 0$$

is an exact sequence of I-graded vector spaces and $\phi(V_{\mathbf{a}})$ is stable by x, and where F is the set of pairs (x,U) such that $U\subset V_{\mathbf{d}}$ is an I-graded, x-stable subspace of dimension $\mathbf{a}$.

Given $f\in\mathbb{C}_G(E_{V_{\mathbf{a}}})$ and $g\in\mathbb{C}_G(E_{V_{\mathbf{b}}})$, set

$$f\circ g=q^{-m(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})}(p_3)_!h\in\mathbb{C}_G(V_{\mathbf{d}}),\tag{1.2}$$

where $h\in\mathbb{C}(F)$ is the unique function satisfying $p_2^*(h)=p_1^*(fg)$ and $m(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})=\sum_{i\in I}a_ib_i+\sum_{(i_1,i_2)\in\Omega}b_{i_1}a_{i_2}$. Set $\mathbf{U}^-_{q,n}=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{d}}\mathbb{C}_G(E_{V_{\mathbf{d}}})$. Then $(\mathbf{U}^-_{q,n},\circ)$ is an associative algebra.

For $\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}$ with dim $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{d}$, we let $O_{\mathbf{m}}\subset E_{V_{\mathbf{d}}}$ be the $G_{V_{\mathbf{d}}}$-orbit consisting of representations in the class $\overline{\mathbf{m}}$, and we let $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{m}}\in\mathbb{C}_G(V_{\mathbf{d}})$ be the characteristic function of $O_{\mathbf{m}}$. Finally, we set $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}}=q^{-\dim O_{\mathbf{m}}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{m}}$, and if $\mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{N}^I$, we let $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be the characteristic function of the trivial representation in $V_{\mathbf{d}}$. Note that, by definition, $(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathbf{U}^-_{q,n}$.

1.3 Let $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{N}^I$ such that $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{d}$. Fix a subspace U of $V_{\mathbf{d}}$ of dimension $\mathbf{a}$ and a pair of graded vector apace isomorphisms $U\simeq V_{\mathbf{a}}$, $V_{\mathbf{d}}/U\simeq V_{\mathbf{b}}$. Consider the diagram

$$E_U\times E_{V/U}\xleftarrow{p}E\xrightarrow{i}E_{V_{\mathbf{d}}},$$

where $E\subset E_{V_{\mathbf{d}}}$ is the subset of the representations preserving U. Set

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}:\ \mathbb{C}_G(V_{\mathbf{d}})\to\mathbb{C}_G(V_{\mathbf{a}})\otimes\mathbb{C}_G(\mathbf{b}),\qquad f\mapsto q^{-n(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})}p_!i^*f.$$

Here $n(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a})=\sum_{(i_1,i_2)\in\Omega}b_{i_1}a_{i_2}-\sum_{i\in I}a_ib_i$.

1.4 It is known that the structure constants of $(\mathbf{U}^-_{q,n},\circ,(\Delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}))$ in the basis $(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}})$ are values at $q=v^{-1}$ of some universal Laurent polynomials in $\mathbb{A}$. Thus there exists an algebra $\mathbf{U}^-_n$ defined over $\mathbb{A}$ of which $\mathbf{U}^-_{q,n}$ is the specialisation at $v=q^{-1}$. This algebra is called the *generic Hall algebra*. The algebra $\mathbf{U}^-_n$ is naturally $\mathbb{N}^I$-graded and we will denote by $\mathbf{U}^-_n[\alpha]$ the graded component of degree $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^I$. Let $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$) denote the rational form (resp. the Lusztig integral form) of the quantum affine algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$, and let e_i,k_i,f_i, $i\in I$ (resp. $e_i^{(l)},k_i,f_i^{(l)}$, $i\in I$, $l\in\mathbb{N}$) be the standard Chevalley generators (resp. their quantized divided powers). Let $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $f_i^{(l)}$, $i\in I$, $l\in\mathbb{N}^*$. It is known that the map $f_i^{(l)}\mapsto\mathbf{f}_{l\epsilon_i}$ extends to an embedding of the algebras $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)\hookrightarrow\mathbf{U}^-_n$. Set $\mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K},n}=\mathbf{U}^-_n\otimes_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbb{K}$.

1.5 Let $\mathbf{U}^0$ be the commutative $\mathbb{A}$-algebra generated by elements $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\mathbf{d}\in\mathbb{Z}^I$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{k}_0=1,\qquad\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{b}}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}},\qquad\forall\ \mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}\in\mathbb{Z}^I.$$

Set $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^-_n=\mathbf{U}^-_n\otimes_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^0$ and put

$$(f\otimes\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}})\circ(g\otimes\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{b}})=v^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{d}}(f\circ g)\otimes(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}),\qquad\forall\ g\in\mathbf{U}^-_n[\mathbf{d}],\ \forall\ f\in\mathbf{U}^-_n,$$

where $\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{d}=n(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{d})+n(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{a})$. Finally, define

$$\tilde{\Delta}:\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^-_n\to\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^-_n\otimes\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^-_n,\qquad f\otimes\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{c}}\mapsto\sum_{\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}\Delta_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}(f)(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}}\otimes\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{c}})\qquad\forall f\in\mathbf{U}^-_n[\mathbf{d}].$$

It is proved in [Gr] that $(\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}^-_n,\circ,\tilde{\Delta})$ is a bialgebra.

1.6 Define the following symmetric bilinear form on $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^-$:

$$\langle \mathbf{f_m} \otimes \mathbf{k_a}, \mathbf{f_{m'}} \otimes \mathbf{k_b} \rangle = v^{-(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\cdot \mathbf{d} - 2 \dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})} \frac{(1-v^2)^{|\mathbf{m}|}}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})|} \delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'},$$

where $\mathbf{d} = \dim \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{m})$ stands for the group of automorphism of any representation in the orbit $O_\mathbf{m}$. For any $f, g, h \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^-$ we have (see [Gr])

$$\langle fg, h \rangle = \langle f \otimes g, \tilde{\Delta}(h) \rangle.$$

It is clear that the restriction of $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ to the subalgebra $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ is nondegenerate.

1.7 For $i \in I$, let $e_i' : \mathbf{U}_n^- \to \mathbf{U}_n^-$ be the adjoint of the left multiplication by $\mathbf{f}_i$. It is a homogeneous operator of degree $-\epsilon_i$. Let $\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{e}_i$ be the Kashiwara operators (see [K], Section 3). Recall that a crystal basis of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ is a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathrm{B})$ where $B \subset \mathcal{L}/v\mathcal{L}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis satisfying the following conditions :

i) for any $i \in I$ we have $\tilde{e}_i\mathcal{L}, \tilde{f}_i\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$, and $\tilde{e}_i(\mathrm{B}), \tilde{f}_i(\mathrm{B}) \subset \mathrm{B} \cup \{0\}$,

ii) for any $i \in I, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}' \in \mathrm{B}$ we have $\tilde{e}_i(\mathrm{b}) = \mathrm{b}'$ if and only if $\tilde{f}_i(\mathrm{b}') = \mathrm{b}$.

Set $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_\mathbf{m} \mathbb{S}\ \mathbf{f_m}$. Let $\mathrm{b}_\mathbf{m}$ denote the class of $\mathbf{f_m}$ in $\mathcal{L}/v\mathcal{L}$ and set $\mathrm{B} = \{\mathrm{b}_\mathbf{m},\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}\}$. The following result is proved in [LTV], Theorem. 4.1 :

Theorem. *The couple $(\mathcal{L}, B)$ is a crystal basis of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$.*

The crystal graph $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ has the vertex set $\mathcal{M}$ and edges $\mathbf{m} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{m'}$ whenever $\tilde{f}_i(\mathrm{b}_\mathbf{m}) = \mathrm{b}_{\mathbf{m}'}$. It is explicitely described in [LTV]. Call a multisegment $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{i,l} a_i^l[i;l)$ *completely periodic* if $a_i^l = a_j^l$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $i, j \in I$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}$ be the set of all completely periodic multisegments. Then $\tilde{e}_i(\mathrm{b}_\mathbf{m}) = 0$ for each $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}$ and $i \in I$, and the connected component of $\mathcal{C}$ containing $\mathrm{b}_\mathbf{m}$ is isomorphic to the crystal graph of $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

1.8 For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}$, set

$$\mathbf{b_m} = \sum_{i,\mathbf{n}} v^{-i + \dim O_\mathbf{m} + \dim O_\mathbf{n}} \dim \mathcal{H}^i_{O_\mathbf{n}}(IC_{O_\mathbf{m}}) \mathbf{f_n},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^i_{O_\mathbf{n}}(IC_{O_\mathbf{m}})$ is the stalk over a point of $O_\mathbf{n}$ of the ith intersection cohomology sheaf of the closure $\overline{O}_\mathbf{m}$ of $O_\mathbf{m}$. Then $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{b_m}\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$, introduced in [VV]. There exists a unique semilinear ring involution $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ satisfying $\overline{\mathbf{b_m}} = \mathbf{b_m}$ (see [VV], Proposition 7.5). The element $\mathbf{b_m}$ is caracterized by the following two properties :

$$\text{i) } \overline{\mathbf{b_m}} = \mathbf{b_m}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \text{ii) } \mathbf{b_m} \in \mathbf{f_m} + v\mathcal{L}.$$

Call a multisegment $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{i,l} a_i^l$ *aperiodic* if for each $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $a_i^l = 0$, and let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}$ be the set of aperiodic multisegments. Lusztig proved in [L3] that $\{\mathbf{b_m}\ |\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}\}$ is the global canonical basis of $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

1.9 Fix $D \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_D$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_D$, resp. $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D$) be the symmetric group (resp. affine symmetric group, resp. affine Hecke algebra) of type GL_D. The $\mathbb{A}$-algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D$ is generated by elements $T_i^{\pm}, X_j^{\pm}$, $i \in [1, D-1]$, $j \in [1, D]$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_i\, T_i^{-1} = 1 = T_i^{-1}\, T_i, && (T_i + 1)(T_i - v^{-2}) = 0,\\
&T_i\, T_{i+1}\, T_i = T_{i+1}\, T_i\, T_{i+1}, && |i-j| > 1 \Rightarrow T_i\, T_j = T_j\, T_i,\\
&X_i\, X_i^{-1} = 1 = X_i^{-1}\, X_i, && X_i\, X_j = X_j\, X_i,\\
&T_i\, X_i\, T_i = v^{-2} X_{i+1}, && j \neq i, i+1 \Rightarrow X_j\, T_i = T_i\, X_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_\infty$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-algebra generated by $T_i^{\pm}, X_i^{\pm}$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with the same relations as above.

The center of $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D$ is $Z(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D) = \mathbb{A}[X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_D^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_D}$. Set

$$Z_D^+ = \mathbb{A}[X_1, \dots, X_D]^{\mathfrak{S}_D}, \qquad Z_D^- = \mathbb{A}[X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_D^{-1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_D}.$$

1.10 Let $\mathbb{A}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-linear span of vectors x_i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following [VV], Section 8.1, let $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^-$ act on $\mathbb{A}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathrm{x}_i) = \sum_{j \geq i} \delta_{\mathbf{m}, [\bar{i}, j-i+1)} \mathrm{x}_{j+1}, \qquad \forall\, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\mathbf{k}_\alpha(\mathrm{x}_i) = v^{-n(\alpha, \epsilon_{\bar{i}})} \mathrm{x}_i \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^I. \tag{1.4}$$

Set $\bigotimes^D = (\mathbb{A}^{(\mathbb{Z})})^{\otimes D}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^-$ act on $\bigotimes^D$ via the coproduct $\tilde{\Delta}$. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots i_D) \in \mathbb{Z}^D$ we set $\otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathrm{x}_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{x}_{i_D} \in \bigotimes^D$. Then $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D$ acts on $\bigotimes^D$ on the right in the following way

$$(\otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) T_k = \begin{cases} v^{-2} \otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1} \\ v^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{x}_{(\mathbf{i}) s_k} & \text{if } -n < i_k < i_{k+1} \leq 0 \\ v^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{x}_{(\mathbf{i}) s_k} + (v^{-2} - 1) \otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } -n < i_{k+1} \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

$$(\otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) X_j = \otimes \mathrm{x}_{(\mathbf{i} - n\epsilon_j)}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $k \in [1, D-1]$, $j \in [1, D]$ and $s_k \in \mathfrak{S}_D$ is the kth simple transposition. Moreover, the actions of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^-$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D$ on $\bigotimes^D$ commute (see [VV], Section 8.2).

1.11 Let $\Omega^D = \sum_i \mathrm{Im}\,(1 + T_i) \subset \bigotimes^D$. For any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^D$ let $\wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the class of $\otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in the quotient $\bigotimes^D / \Omega^D$. Then

$$\{\wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid i_1 > i_2 \dots > i_D\}$$

is a basis of $\bigotimes^D / \Omega^D$ (see [KMS], Proposition 1.3). If $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_D) \in \Pi_D$ set $|\lambda\rangle = \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ where $i_k = \lambda_k + 1 - k$, $k = 1, \dots D$. Let Λ^D be the $\mathbb{A}$-linear span of the vectors $|\lambda\rangle$, $\lambda \in \Pi_D$. The representation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_n^-$ on $\bigotimes^D$ descends to $\bigotimes^D / \Omega^D$ and restricts to Λ^D (see [VV], 9.2). Note that $Z(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_D)$ acts on $\bigotimes^D / \Omega^D$ and that Z_D^- acts on Λ^D.

Let $\bigotimes^\infty$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-linear span of semi-infinite monomials

$$\otimes \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathrm{x}_{i_1} \otimes \mathrm{x}_{i_2} \otimes \dots$$

such that $i_k = 1-k$ for $k \gg 0$. The algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_\infty$ acts on $\bigotimes^\infty$ via formulas (1.5), (1.6). Let $\Omega^\infty = \sum_i \mathrm{Im}\,(1+T_i) \subset \bigotimes^\infty$. As before, if $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$ is a sequence of integers such that $i_k = 1-k$ for $k \gg 0$, let $\wedge \mathrm{x}_\mathbf{i}$ denote the class of $\otimes \mathrm{x}_\mathbf{i}$ in the quotient $\bigotimes^\infty / \Omega^\infty$. If $\lambda \in \Pi$ we set $|\lambda\rangle = \wedge \mathrm{x}_\mathbf{i}$ where $i_k = \lambda_k + 1 - k$. Finally, set $\Lambda^\infty = \bigoplus_\lambda \mathbb{A}|\lambda\rangle$. It is shown in [VV], Section 10.1 that $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ acts on Λ^∞. Its restriction to $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ coincides with the level 1 integrable module $F_{(0)}$ considered in [KMS] (see also [H]).

2 A central subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$.

2.1 Set $\mathbf{R} = \bigcap_i \mathrm{Ker}\ e_i' = \bigcap_i \mathrm{Ker}\ \tilde{e}_i \subset \mathbf{U}_n^-$ and put $\mathbf{R}_\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{R} \otimes_\mathbb{A} \mathbb{K}$. For simplicity, we set $\mathbf{s} = (1, 1 \dots 1) \in \mathbb{N}^I$. We first show

Proposition. *The following properties hold.*

i) *$\mathbf{R}$ is a graded subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ satisfying $\overline{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}$ and*

$$\dim \mathbf{R}_\mathbb{K}[\alpha] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}\mathbf{s} \\ p(k) & \text{if } \alpha = k\mathbf{s}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $p(k)$ is the number of partitions of the integer k.

ii) *The subalgebras $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ commute and the multiplication map m induces an isomorphism*

$$m : \mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes_\mathbb{A} \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}_n^-.$$

iii) *The pair $(\mathbf{R}, \Delta)$ is a bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbf{R}$ is graded. Moreover, it follows from [LTV], Theorem 4.1, that

$$\dim\ (\mathbf{R}_\mathbb{K}[\alpha] \cap \mathcal{L} / v(\mathbf{R}_\mathbb{K}[\alpha] \cap \mathcal{L})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathbb{N}\mathbf{s} \\ p(k) & \text{if } \alpha = k\mathbf{s}. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\mathbf{R}_\mathbb{K}[\alpha] \cap \mathcal{L}$ is a free $\mathbb{S}$-module of the given dimension, and (2.1) follows. That $\mathbf{R}$ is a subalgebra is a consequence of the following equality

$$e_i'(ab) = v^{-\mathrm{wt}_i(\alpha)} a e_i'(b) + e_i'(a) b, \qquad \forall\ a \in \mathbf{R}[\alpha],\ b \in \mathbf{R}[\beta] \tag{2.2}$$

where we set $\mathrm{wt}_i(\gamma) = 2\gamma_i - \gamma_{i-1} - \gamma_{i+1}$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^I$. To prove (2.2), observe that for any $c \in \mathbf{U}_n^-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_i'(ab), c\rangle = \langle ab, \mathbf{f}_i c\rangle &= \langle a \otimes b, (\mathbf{f}_i \otimes 1 + \mathbf{k}_{\epsilon_i} \otimes \mathbf{f}_i)\Delta(c)\rangle \\ &= \sum \langle a, \mathbf{f}_i c'\rangle\langle b, c''\rangle + \langle a, \mathbf{k}_{\epsilon_i} c'\rangle\langle b, \mathbf{f}_i c''\rangle \\ &= \langle e_i'(a) b + v^{-\mathrm{wt}_i(\alpha)} a e_i'(b), c\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Sweedler's notation $\Delta(c) = \sum c' \otimes c''$.

We now prove the last statement in *i*). For $i \in I$, consider $e_i'' : \mathbf{U}_n^- \to \mathbf{U}_n^-$, $x \mapsto \overline{e_i'(\overline{x})}$. We claim that, for all $i, j \in I$,

$$e_i' e_j'' = v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)} e_j'' e_i'. \tag{2.3}$$

To prove (2.3), set $S = e'_i e''_j - v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)} e''_j e'_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i e''_j \mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} =& e'_i(\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} e''_j + v^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_j}) \\ =& \mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} e'_i e''_j + v\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i} e''_j + v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)-1}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_j} e'_i + v^{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{i-1,j}}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)} e'_i e''_j \mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} \\ &\quad= v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)}\left\{\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} e''_j e'_i + v^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_j} e'_i + v^{1-\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i} e''_j + v^{-\delta_{ij}+\delta_{i+1,j}}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}\right\} \\ &\quad= \mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)} e''_j e'_i + v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\epsilon_j)-1}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_j} e'_i + v\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i} e''_j + v^{\delta_{ij}-\delta_{i-1,j}}\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} S$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. In an analogous fashion, $S\mathbf{f}_k = \mathbf{f}_k S$ for all $k \in I$. Moreover, by [GP], the algebra $\mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K},n}$ is generated by $\mathbf{f}_k$, $k \in I$ and $\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}}$ $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Hence $S = 0$ and (2.3) is proved.

We now turn to *ii*). By (2.3) we have $e''_j(\mathrm{Ker}\ e'_i) \subset \mathrm{Ker}\ e'_i$. Hence $e''_j(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathbf{R}$. But e''_j is a homogeneous operator of degree $-\epsilon_j$, and by (2.1) the only non-zero graded components of $\mathbf{R}$ are located in degrees ls, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Thus $e''_j(\mathbf{R}) = 0$ for all j, and $\mathbf{R} \subset \bigcap_j \mathrm{Ker}\ e''_j = \overline{\mathbf{R}}$. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}$ as desired.

By (2.2) we have

$$e'_i(\mathbf{f}_j x) = \delta_{ij} x = e'_i(x\mathbf{f}_j), \qquad \forall x \in \mathbf{R},\ j \in I.$$

Hence $\mathbf{R}$ is ad $\mathbf{f}_j$-stable. But ad $\mathbf{f}_j$ is homogeneous of degree ϵ_j. This again implies that $(\mathrm{ad}\ \mathbf{f}_j)_{|\mathbf{R}} = 0$. Thus the subalgebras $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $\mathbf{R}$ commute.

The operators e'_i are locally nilpotent. For any $u \in \mathbf{U}^-_n$ there exists a sequence $i_1, i_2, \ldots i_r$ such that $e'_{i_r} \ldots e'_{i_1} u \in \mathbf{R}$. Since the operators e'_i and $\tilde{e}_i$ are proportional, it follows that $\tilde{e}_{i_r} \ldots \tilde{e}_{i_1} u \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $u = \tilde{f}_{i_1} \ldots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \tilde{e}_{i_r} \ldots \tilde{e}_{i_1} u$. Therefore the multiplication map $m : \mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{U}^-_n$ is surjective. By [LTV], Theorem 4.1 we have and section 1.8 we have

$$\dim \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{K}}[\beta] = \#\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}} \mid \dim \mathbf{m} = \beta\}.$$

Moreover, it is well known (see Section 1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)[\alpha] = \#\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}} \mid \dim \mathbf{m} = \alpha\}, \\ &\dim \mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K},n}[\gamma] = \#\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \dim \mathbf{m} = \gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \dim \mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)[\alpha] + \dim \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{K}}[\beta] = \dim \mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K},n}[\gamma].$$

This implies that m is injective, and *ii*) follows.

To prove *iii*), note that by definition, $\mathbf{R} = (\sum_i \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{U}^-_n)^{\perp}$. Let $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $y \in \sum_i \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{U}^-_n$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}^-_n$. Then $yu, uy \in \sum_i \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{U}^-_n$ by *ii*). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Delta}(x), y \otimes u) &= (x, yu) = 0, \\ (\tilde{\Delta}(x), u \otimes y) &= (x, uy) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{\Delta}(x) \in (\mathbf{U}^0\mathbf{R})^{\otimes 2}$. Finally, it follows from *i*) and section 1.5 that the map $\mathbf{U}^0\mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}} u \mapsto u$ is an algebra homomorphism, which implies that $(\mathbf{R}, \Delta)$ is a bialgebra. ■

2.2 For $D \in \mathbb{N}$ set $\Gamma_D = \mathbb{A}[y_1, \dots y_D]^{\mathfrak{S}_D}$, and let Γ be the ring of symmetric functions in the variables $y_i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, denote by $p_k^D,\ p_k$ the k-th power sum in Γ_D and Γ. Recall that $\Gamma = \mathbb{A}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$ and that Γ is equipped with a canonical cocommutative bialgebra structure $\Delta_0 : \Gamma \to \Gamma \otimes \Gamma,\ p_k \to p_k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p_k$ (see [M], I, 5). Let us denote by $\rho_D : \mathbf{U}_n^- \to \mathrm{End}\ \Lambda^D$ (resp. $\rho : \mathbf{U}_n^- \to \mathrm{End}\ \Lambda^\infty$) the representations of the Hall algebra on Λ^D and Λ^∞ (see Sections 1.10 and 1.11). Identify Γ_D with Z_D^- via $y_i \mapsto X_i^{-1}$ and let $\sigma_D : \Gamma_D \to \mathrm{End}\ \Lambda^\infty$ (resp. $\sigma : \Gamma \to \mathrm{End}\ \Lambda^\infty$) be the representations of the center of the affine Hecke algebras (see [KMS] Section 1.).

Proposition. *There exists a graded bialgebra isomorphism* $i : (\mathbf{R}, \Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Gamma, \Delta_0)$ *such that* $\rho_{|\mathbf{R}} = \sigma \circ i$.

Proof. The action of $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on Λ^∞ extends to a level 1 action of the whole quantum affine algebra $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, which commutes to the action of Γ. Moreover, by [KMS], Proposition 2.3, the Fock space Λ^∞ decomposes as

$$\Lambda^\infty = L(\Lambda_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \Gamma$$

where $L(\Lambda_0)$ is the $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-submodule generated by the highest weight vector v_0 in the irreducible representation of $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ with highest weight Λ_0.

Lemma 2.1. *The actions of* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* Γ *on* Λ^∞ *commute.*

Proof. Let $(a_D)_D$ be a family of operators such that $a_D \in \mathrm{End}\ \Lambda^D$. We say that $(a_D)_D$ satisfies property $(*)$ if there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\begin{gathered}\forall\ s, r \in \mathbb{N},\ r \geq s + N,\ \ \forall\ i_1, \dots, i_r, \\ i_{l+1} = i_l - 1 \text{ if } l \geq s \Rightarrow \\ a_r(\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_r}) = a_{s+N}(\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_{s+N}}) \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_{s+N+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_r}\end{gathered}$$

It is easy to check that $(p_k^D)_D$ satisfies $(*)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and that for any $u \in \mathbf{U}_n^-$ the family $(\rho_D(u))_D$ satisfies $(*)$. As a consequence, the family $([\rho_D(u), p_k^D])_D$ satisfies $(*)$ with constant, say N. Given $\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_2} \wedge \ldots$ with $i_l = 1 - l$ for $l \geq s$, we have

$$[\rho(u), p_k](\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) = [\rho_{s+N}(u), p_k^{s+N}](x_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{i_{s+N}}) \wedge x_{i_{s+N+1}} \wedge \ldots = 0$$

since the actions of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ and Γ_{s+N} on Λ^{s+N} commute (see Section 1.10). ■

The proof of the following lemma will be given in the appendix (Section 4.1.)

Lemma 2.2. *The actions of* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *on* Λ^∞ *commute.*

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma$ such that $x.(v_0 \otimes 1) = v_0 \otimes \tilde{x}$. Let i be the map $\mathbf{R} \to \Gamma,\ x \mapsto \tilde{x}$. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply that $\rho(x) = \sigma(i(x)) \in \mathrm{End}\ \Lambda^\infty$. Moreover, by [VV] Section 9.3 we have $\mathbf{U}_n^- |0\rangle = \Lambda^\infty$. Hence i is surjective. The map i is a graded algebra homomorphism, where deg $p_k = k\mathbf{s}$. Since $\mathbf{R}$ and Γ have the same graded dimensions, it follows that i is an isomorphism.

Finally, we prove that i is compatible with the bialgebra structures. For $D_1, D_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the map

$$\mu_{D_1,D_2} : \Lambda^{D_1} \otimes \Lambda^{D_2} \to \Lambda^{D_1+D_2}, \qquad \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{j}} \mapsto \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{ij}}$$

where **ij** is the sequence **i** followed by the sequence **j**. Then, by Section 1.11, (1.4) and the inclusion $\mathbf{R} \subset \bigoplus_l \mathbf{U}_n^-[l\mathbf{s}]$,

$$\mu_{D_1,D_2} \circ (\rho_{D_1} \otimes \rho_{D_2}) \circ \tilde{\Delta} = \mu_{D_1,D_2} \circ (\rho_{D_1} \otimes \rho_{D_2}) \circ \Delta = \rho_{D_1+D_2}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $c_k = i^{-1}(p_k)$. Choose $N \gg 0$ such that property $(*)$ is satisfied by $(\rho_D(u))_D$ for any $u \in \bigoplus_{l \leq k} \mathbf{R}[l\tau]$ with constant N. Thus,

$$\forall\, s, r, D \in \mathbb{N},\ r \geq s + D, \forall\, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^D \text{ such that } i_{l+1} = i_l - 1 \text{ if } l \geq s,$$

$$c_k(\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_D}) = p_k^{s+D}(\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_{s+D}}) \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_{s+D+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{x}_{i_r}.$$

In particular, if $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{D_1}$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{D_2}$ both satisfy the condition in $(*)$ then

$$\mu_{D_1,D_2}(\rho_{D_1} \otimes \rho_{D_2})\Delta(c_k)(\wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{j}}) = \mu_{D_1,D_2}(1 \otimes c_k + c_k \otimes 1)(\wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \wedge \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{j}})$$

It is easy to see that this implies that $\Delta(c_k) = 1 \otimes c_k + c_k \otimes 1$ as desired. ■

Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 together imply

Theorem. *There exists a graded algebra isomorphism* $\mathbf{U}_n^- \simeq \mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{R}$ *where* $\mathbf{R} \simeq \mathbb{A}[\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2 \ldots]$ *with* deg $\mathbf{z}_i = i\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{N}^I$.

2.3 Let us denote by ρ'_D and σ'_D the representations of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ and Γ_D on $\bigotimes^D$. Then in fact:

Proposition. *There exists graded algebra morphisms* $i_D : \mathbf{R} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_D$, $D \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *such that* $i = \varprojlim i_D$ *and* $\rho'_D = \sigma'_D \circ i_D$.

Proof. It follows from (1.3) and from the fact that $\mathbf{R}$ belongs to the center of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\ \ c_k.\mathrm{x}_i = \mathrm{x}_{i+nk'}.$$

Then, by Prop. 2.2, for all $D \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\forall\, \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^D,\ \ \rho'_D(c_k)(\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{x}_{i_D}) = \sigma'_D(p^D_{k'})(\mathrm{x}_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{x}_{i_D}).$$

In particular, $\rho_D(c_k) = \sigma_D(p^D_{k'})$ for all $D \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $k = k'$ and proves the proposition. ■

2.4 Let $(\mathbf{b}^*_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}}$ be the dual basis of $(\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}}$ with respect to (,).

Proposition. *We have* $\mathbf{R} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}} \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]\mathbf{b}^*_{\mathbf{m}}$.

Proof. By definition we have

$$\mathbf{R} = \bigcap_i \mathrm{Ker}\ e'_i = (\sum_i \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbf{k},n})^{\perp}.$$

From [L], Th.14.3.2 and from the geometric description of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ in terms of Frobenius traces of perverse sheaves on $E_{V_{\mathbf{d}}}$, $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ (see [VV]) it follows that

$$\sum_i \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{U}_n^- = \bigoplus_{i, \mathbf{m} \in \tilde{f}_i \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \notin \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}} \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

This proves the Proposition. ■

3 Proof of the Varagnolo-Vasserot conjecture

3.1 Set $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_\lambda \mathbb{S}|\lambda\rangle \subset \Lambda^\infty$. Leclerc and Thibon have defined a semilinear involution $a \mapsto \overline{a}$ on Λ^∞ such that

i) $\overline{|0\rangle} = |0\rangle$,

ii) $\overline{ua} = \overline{u}\,\overline{a}$ for all $u \in \mathbf{U}_n^-,\ a \in \Lambda^\infty$,

iii) $\overline{p_k.a} = p_k.\overline{a}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*,\ a \in \Lambda^\infty$,

(see [LT], [VV]).

For $\lambda \in \Pi$, set $\mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \sum_i [1-i, \lambda_i - i] \in \mathcal{M}$. To simplify notations, put $\mathbf{f}_\lambda = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}(\lambda)}$ and $\mathbf{b}_\lambda = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}(\lambda)}$. If $\lambda \in \Pi$, let $n\lambda$ be the partition $((\lambda_1)^n, (\lambda_2)^n, \dots)$. Thus $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}} = \{\mathbf{m}(n\lambda),\ \lambda \in \Pi\}$. Leclerc and Thibon introduced in [LT] two canonical bases $\mathbf{B}^\pm = \{\mathbf{b}_\lambda^\pm,\ \lambda \in \Pi\}$ of Λ^∞ caracterized by

$$\overline{\mathbf{b}_\lambda^\pm} = \mathbf{b}_\lambda^\pm, \qquad \mathbf{b}_\lambda^+ \in |\lambda\rangle + v \bigoplus_{\mu<\lambda} \mathbb{S}|\mu\rangle, \qquad \mathbf{b}_\lambda^- \in |\lambda\rangle + v^{-1} \bigoplus_{\mu<\lambda} |\mu\rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

The following was conjectured in [VV] and is the main result of this paper :

Theorem. *For all* $\lambda \in \Pi$ *we have* $\mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle = \mathbf{b}_\lambda^+$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

3.2 Recall that a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$ is called n-regular if $\lambda_i > \lambda_{n+i}$ for all i such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$. Let Π^{reg} be the set of all n-regular partitions. We first show

Lemma 3.1. *We have* $\mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle = \mathbf{b}_\lambda^+$ *if* $\lambda \in \Pi^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Proof. Consider the scalar product $(\ ,\ )$ on Λ^∞ for which $\{|\lambda\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Recall that Λ^∞ is isomorphic to $L(\Lambda_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \Gamma$ as a $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \Gamma$-module. It is shown in [LT2] that the restriction of $(\ ,\ )$ and of the involution $a \mapsto \overline{a}$ to $L(\Lambda_0)$ coincide with the Kashiwara scalar product and involution defined on any simple integrable $\mathbf{U}_n^-$-module ([K], Sections 2 and 6). Thus the lower crystal basis of $L(\Lambda_0)$ is a subset of $\{\pm\mathbf{b}_\lambda^+\}$. Note that $\mathbf{m}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}$ if and only if λ is n-regular. Therefore, by Section 1.8 and the general theory of canonical bases

$$\lambda \in \Pi^{\mathrm{reg}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle \subset \{\pm\mathbf{b}_\lambda^+\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, by [VV], Section 9.2, for any $\lambda \in \Pi$ and any orbit $O \subset \overline{O}_\lambda \backslash O_\lambda$ we have

$$\mathbf{f}_\lambda|0\rangle \in |\lambda\rangle + \bigoplus_{\mu<\lambda} \mathbb{A}|\mu\rangle, \qquad \mathbf{f}_O|0\rangle \in \bigoplus_{\mu<\lambda} \mathbb{A}|\mu\rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

It is now clear from (3.1) and (3.2), (3.3) that $\mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle = \mathbf{b}_\lambda^+$ if λ is an n-regular partition. ■

3.3 Set $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{reg}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}} \mathbb{S}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}$. It is known that $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is the smallest $\mathbb{S}$-submodule of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ containing 1 and stable by the operators $\tilde{f}_i$, $i \in I$ (c.f. [K] and Section 1.8). Set $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathbf{R}$. If V is any $\mathbb{S}$-module V, we let $\overline{V} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{C}[[v]]$ be its completion with respect to the v-adic topology.

Lemma 3.2. *The multiplication defines a graded isomorphism*

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[v]]} \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Proof. Every multisegment $\mathbf{n}$ decomposes in a unique way as $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{a}$ where $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}$. Since $\mathrm{b}_{\mathbf{a}}$ belongs to the connected component of the crystal graph $\mathcal{C}$ containing 1, there exists a sequence $i_1, \dots i_r$ such that $\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} 1 \equiv \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{a}} \pmod{v\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, by Section 1.7 there exists $x \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $x \equiv \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}} \pmod{v\mathcal{L}}$. Since the left multiplication by x commutes with the $\tilde{f}_i$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r}.1 &= \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \cdot x \\ &\equiv \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}} && \pmod{v\mathcal{L}} \\ &\equiv \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}} && \pmod{v\mathcal{L}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the multiplication map induces an isomorphism modulo v, and hence an isomorphism over $\mathbb{C}[[v]]$. ∎

3.4 For $\nu \in \Pi$ let $s_\nu \in \Gamma$ be the Schur polynomial and set $a_\nu = i^{-1}(s_\nu) \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\{a_\nu,\ \nu \in \Pi\}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathbf{R}$.

Lemma 3.3. *The following holds :*

i) $v^{(n-1)|\mu|} a_\mu \mathcal{L}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$,

ii) $a_\mu|0\rangle \in (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}\big(|n\mu\rangle + v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda\big)$.

iii) More generally, let $\lambda \in \Pi$ *and write* $\mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \mathbf{m}(\lambda') + \mathbf{m}(n\mu)$ *where* $\lambda' \in \Pi^{\mathrm{reg}}$. *Then*
$$a_\mu|\lambda'\rangle \in (-v)^{-(n-1)|\mu|}\big(|\lambda\rangle + v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda\big).$$

Proof. Statement *i)* follows from [LT2], Theorem 6.3. Statements *ii)* and *iii)* are proved as in [LT2], Theorem 6.7. ∎

Let us denote by $<$ the order on multisegments such that $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$ if $O_{\mathbf{m}} \subset \overline{O_{\mathbf{n}}}$.

Proposition. *There holds*

i) $a_\lambda \in (-v)^{-(n-1)|\lambda|}(\mathbf{f}_{n\lambda} + v\mathcal{L})$,

ii) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$ *and* $\mathcal{L}|0\rangle \subset \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$.

Proof. Let $i)_k$ be statement $i)$ restricted to all $\lambda \in \Pi$ with $|\lambda| \leq k$, and let $ii)_k$ be statement $ii)$ restricted to $\bigoplus_{k' \leq k} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}[k'\tau]$, and $\mathcal{L}[\mathbf{d}]$, $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots d_n)$, $d_i \leq k$. We will prove $i)_k$ and $ii)_k$ by induction. The case $k = 1$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and the following formula :

$$a_{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}=[a_1;l_1)+\ldots+[a_r;l_r) \\ \mathbf{dim}\ \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{s}}} (v - v^{-1})^{(n-1)-\sum_i (l_i-1)} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed, let x denote the r.h.s of (3.4). A direct computation using [LTV], Proposition 4.1, shows that $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Since dim $\mathbf{R}[\tau] = 1$, we have $a_{(1)} = cx$ for some $c \in \mathbb{K}$. Using [LTV] Theorem 6.3, we see that the coefficient of $|(n)\rangle$ in $a_{(1)}|0\rangle$ is equal to 1. On the other hand, by (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} cx|0\rangle &= c \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}=[a_1;l_1)+\ldots+[a_r;l_r) \\ \mathbf{dim\ m=s}}} (v - v^{-1})^{(n-1)-\sum_i (l_i-1)} \mathbf{f_m}|0\rangle \\ &\in c\mathbf{f}_{(n)}|0\rangle + \bigoplus_{\mu<(n)} \mathbb{A}|\mu\rangle \\ &\in c|(n)\rangle + \bigoplus_{\mu<(n)} \mathbb{A}|\mu\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $c = 1$ and (3.4) is proved.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ let $\Delta_{\lambda,\mu}(x) \in \mathbb{A}$ be the coefficient of $\mathbf{f}_{n\lambda} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{n\mu}$ in $\Delta(x)$, where $\Delta(x)$ is expressed in the basis $(\mathbf{f_m} \otimes \mathbf{f_{m'}})_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m'}}$. For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Pi$, $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ we let $c^{\lambda}_{\mu,\nu} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the Littlewood-Richardson multiplicity (see [M], Section 5).

Lemma 3.4. *For all* $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *and* $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M} \backslash \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}$ *we have*

$$\Delta_{(1)^l,\mu}(\mathbf{f}_{n\lambda}) \in c^{\lambda}_{(1)^l,\mu} + v\mathbb{S}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta_{(1)^l,\mu}(\mathbf{f_m}) \in v\mathbb{S}.$$

Proof. See the appendix. ■

Now let $k > 1$ and suppose that $i)_{k-1}$ and $ii)_{k-1}$ hold. Let $d_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu \in \Pi$, be such that $\{v^{d_\nu} a_\nu\}$ is a $\mathbb{S}$-basis of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}$. It follows from the crystal graph $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbf{U}_n^-$ that $e'_i(\mathrm{b}_\mathbf{m}) = 0$ for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}$. Hence

$$a_\nu = (-v)^{-d_\nu} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Pi} \alpha_\sigma \mathbf{f}_{n\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{l}} \beta_\mathbf{l} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{M}} \right) \tag{3.5}$$

for some $\alpha_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\beta_\mathbf{l} \in v\mathbb{S}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\Delta_{(1)^l,\mu}(a_\nu) \in (-v)^{-d_\nu} (\sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma c^{\sigma}_{(1)^l,\mu} + v\mathbb{S}). \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, by [M], Section 5.3 and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\Delta(a_\nu) = \sum_{|\lambda|+|\mu|=k} c^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} a_\lambda \otimes a_\mu. \tag{3.7}$$

Using the induction hypothesis $i)_{k-1}$ we obtain, for $|\lambda|, |\mu| \neq 0$

$$\Delta_{\lambda,\mu}(a_\nu) \in (-v)^{-(n-1)|\nu|} (c^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} + v\mathbb{S}). \tag{3.8}$$

For any $\nu \in \Pi$ there exists some $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mu \in \Pi$ such that $c^{\nu}_{(1)^l,\mu} = 1$ (see [M], (5.17)). Combining (3.6), (3.8), we see that $d_\nu \geq (n-1)|\nu|$. In particular, it follows from Lemma 3.3 $i)$ that $v^{d_\nu} a_\nu . \mathcal{L}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{L}_\Lambda$. Since $\{v^{d_\nu} a_\nu\}_{|\nu|=k}$ is an $\mathbb{S}$-basis of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}[k\tau]$ we obtain the first statement of $ii)_k$. The second part of Statement $ii)_k$ follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. Then $ii)_k$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} a_\nu|0\rangle &\in (-v)^{-d_\nu}\left(\sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \mathbf{f}_{n\sigma} + v\mathcal{L}[k\tau]\right)|0\rangle \\ &\in (-v)^{-d_\nu}\left(\sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \mathbf{f}_{n\sigma}|0\rangle + v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), $ii)_k$ and Lemma 3.3 $ii)$, we get

$$d_\nu = (n-1)|\nu|, \qquad \alpha_\nu = 1, \qquad \alpha_\sigma \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sigma \leq \nu.$$

Finally, combining (3.8) and (3.6) now yields

$$\forall\, l \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall\, \mu \in \Pi, \qquad \sum_{\sigma<\nu} c^{\sigma}_{(1)^l,\mu}\alpha_\sigma = 0.$$

For any fixed ν, this system is nondegenerated and admits the unique solution $(\alpha_\sigma)_{\sigma<\nu} = 0$. Indeed let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on Γ for which $\{s_\lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis, and set $X = \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma s_\sigma$. Then for any μ and l we have

$$\langle s_{(1)^l}s_\mu, X\rangle = \langle \sum_\sigma c^{\sigma}_{(1)^l,\mu}s_\sigma, X\rangle = \sum_\sigma c^{\sigma}_{(1)^l,\mu}\alpha_\sigma = 0.$$

Moreover $\langle s_{(k)}, X\rangle = 0$ since $X \in \bigoplus_{\sigma<\nu}\mathbb{A}s_\sigma \subset \bigoplus_{\sigma<(k)}\mathbb{A}s_\sigma$. But

$$\Gamma[k] = \mathbb{A}s_{(k)} \oplus \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} s_{(1)^l}\Gamma[k-l].$$

Hence $X = 0$. Statement $i)_k$ is proved and the induction is complete. ∎

3.5 *Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Let $\lambda \in \Pi$. The multisegment $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$ decomposes in a unique way as $\mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \mathbf{m}(\lambda') + \mathbf{m}(n\mu)$ for some partitions $\lambda' \in \Pi^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mu \in \Pi$. Moreover, from Section 1.7 the element b_λ is in the connected component of the crystal graph $\mathcal{C}$ containing $\mathrm{b}_{n\mu}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.4 $i)$ and the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_\lambda \equiv \mathbf{f}_\lambda \equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu \mathbf{f}_{\lambda'} \ (\mathrm{mod}\ v\mathcal{L}).$$

Then, by Proposition 3.4 $ii)$, Lemma 3.3 $i)$ and the fact that $\mathbf{b}_{\lambda'} - \mathbf{f}_{\lambda'} \in v\mathcal{L}$, we get

$$(-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu \mathbf{f}_{\lambda'}|0\rangle \equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu \mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0\rangle \ (\mathrm{mod}\ v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda).$$

Finally, by Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.3 $i)$ and $iii)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu \mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0\rangle &\equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu \mathbf{b}^+_{\lambda'}|0\rangle \ (\mathrm{mod}\ v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda) \\ &\equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu|\lambda'\rangle \ (\mathrm{mod}\ v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda) \\ &\equiv |\lambda\rangle \ (\mathrm{mod}\ v\mathcal{L}_\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle \in |\lambda\rangle + v\bigoplus_{\mu<\lambda}\mathbb{S}|\mu\rangle$. Finally, $\overline{\mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle} = \overline{\mathbf{b}_\lambda}\,\overline{|0\rangle} = \mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle$ by Section 3.1. Hence $\mathbf{b}_\lambda|0\rangle = \mathbf{b}^+_\lambda$ as desired. ∎

4 An analogue of the Varagnolo-Vasserot conjecture for higher-level Fock spaces

In this section we sketch the generalization of Theorem 3.1 to the case of the higher-level Fock spaces. We use the definitions and notations of [U].

5.1 Let $l > 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$ be the semi-infinite wedge product of levels l and n and charge s (see [U], Section 4.1). Let

$$\mathbb{Z}^l(s) = \{\mathbf{s}_l = (s_1, \dots s_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l \mid \sum_i s_i = s\}.$$

Recall that $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$ is equipped with a distinguished $\mathbb{A}$-basis $\{|\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle\}$ where $\lambda_l = (\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_l) \in \Pi^l$ and $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$. It is endowed with three commuting left actions: $\rho_{l,s} : \mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \to \mathrm{End}\ (\Lambda^{s+\infty})$, $\rho'_{l,s} : \mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l) \to \mathrm{End}\ (\Lambda^{s+\infty})$ where $\mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l)$ denotes the Lusztig integral form of the quantum affine algebra of type $A^{(1)}_{l-1}$ with quantum parameter v^{-1} and the action of a Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}$ generated by operators B_m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ (see [U], Sections 4.2 and 4.3). Moreover, $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$ is an integrable module for $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $\mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^-$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^+$) the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ generated by B_{-m}, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. B_m, $m \in \mathbb{N}$).

Set $\Lambda^{s+\infty}_{\mathbb{K}} = \Lambda^{s+\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{K}$. The Fock space $\Lambda^{s+\infty}_{\mathbb{K}}$ decomposes under these actions as follows (see [TU], Theorem 4.10). Set

$$\mathcal{A}^n_l = \{\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s) \mid s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_l, s_1 - s_l \leq n\}.$$

Then for $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathcal{A}^n_l$ the vector $|0, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ is singular for $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, $\mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l)$ and $\mathcal{H}$, i.e we have

$$\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^+|0, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle = \mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l)^+|0, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle = \mathcal{H}^+|0, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle = 0,$$

and

$$\Lambda^{s+\infty}_{\mathbb{K}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathcal{A}^n_l} \mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l)\ |0, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle.$$

Moreover, for any $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$, $\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_l} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_l \in \Pi^l} \mathbb{A}|\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ is a $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \cdot \mathcal{H}$-module, and $|0, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ generates an irreducible integrable $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module $V_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ of highest weight $\Lambda = \Lambda_{s_1} + \Lambda_{s_2} + \dots + \Lambda_{s_l}$. Here Λ_i, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ is the *ith* fundamental weight of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ and we set $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod n$.

Set $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l} \mathbb{S}|\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{s}_l} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_l} \mathbb{S}|\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ for any $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$. Let $\mathrm{b}_{\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l}$ denote the image of $|\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}/v\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}$. Set $\mathrm{B}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}} = \{\mathrm{b}_{\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l} \mid \lambda_l \in \Pi^l,\ \mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)\}$ and for any $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$ set $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{s}_l} = \{\mathrm{b}_{\lambda_l, \mathbf{s}_l} \mid \lambda_l \in \Pi^l\}$. The following is proved in [JMMO].

Proposition. *The couple* $(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}, B_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}})$ *is a crystal basis of the* $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-*module* $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$.

The crystal graph structure of $\mathrm{B}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}$ is explicitely described in [JMMO].

In [U], Uglov has defined a semilinear involution $a \mapsto \overline{a}$ on $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$ satisfying

- i) $\overline{|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle} = |0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle$ for any $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$,
- ii) $\overline{ua} = \overline{u}\,\overline{a}$ for all $u \in \mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n), \mathbf{U}'(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l),\ a \in \Lambda^{s+\infty}$,
- iii) $\overline{B_{-m}a} = B_{-m}\overline{a}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*,\ a \in \Lambda^{s+\infty}$.

Uglov also introduced two canonical bases $\{\mathbf{b}^{\pm}_{\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l}\}_{\lambda_l\in\Pi^l,\mathbf{s}_l\in\mathbb{Z}^l(s)}$ caracterized by the following properties

$$\overline{\mathbf{b}^{\pm}}_{\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l} = \mathbf{b}^{\pm}_{\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l},$$

$$\mathbf{b}^{+}_{\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l} \in |\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle + v\bigoplus_{\mu_l,\mathbf{t}_l}\mathbb{S}|\mu_l,\mathbf{t}_l\rangle, \qquad \mathbf{b}^{-}_{\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l} \in |\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle + v^{-1}\bigoplus_{\mu_l,\mathbf{t}_l}\overline{\mathbb{S}}|\mu_l,\mathbf{t}_l\rangle.$$

The set $\mathbf{B}^+_{\mathbf{s}_l} = \{\mathbf{b}^+_{\lambda,\mathbf{s}_l}\ |\lambda_l \in \Pi^l\}$ is a basis of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ which contains the lower canonical basis of the irreducible $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module $V_{\mathbf{s}_l}$.

5.2 By Theorem 2.2, we can extend the action of $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$ to an action of $\mathbf{U}^-_n$ by setting $\rho_{l,s}(i^{-1}(p_k)) = B_{-k}$. Recall that $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbb{S}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathcal{L}\cap\mathbf{R}$ denote the integral lattices in $\mathbf{U}^-_n$ and $\mathbf{R}$ respectively, and that $a_\mu = i^{-1}(s_\mu) \in \mathbf{R}$ denotes the Schur polynomial associated to $\mu \in \Pi$ (see Section 3.4).

Proposition. *We have, for any $\mu \in \Pi$ and $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$,*

- *i) $(-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}$ and $(-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|}a_\mu B_{\mathbf{s}_l} \subset B_{\mathbf{s}_l}$,*
- *ii) $\mathcal{L}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \subset \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}$.*

Proof. It will be convenient to use the dual indexation of elements of the basis $\{|\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle\}$ by pairs $(\lambda_n,\mathbf{s}_n)$ where $\lambda_n \in \Pi^n$ and

$$\mathbf{s}_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n(s) = \{(s_1,\dots s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_i s_i = s\}$$

as explained in [U], Section 4.1. In particular we set $\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n} = \bigoplus_{\mu_n}\mathbb{A}|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}} = \bigoplus_{\mu_n}\mathbb{S}|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle$. Now let $\mu_n \in \Pi^n$, $\mathbf{s}_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n(s)$. It follows from [U], Corollary 5.6, i') that $(-v)^{(n-1)|\lambda|}a_\lambda|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}}$ if (μ_n,s_n) is $l|\lambda|$-dominant (see [U], Section 5.1). Let $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$, $i = 0,\dots n-1$ be the Kashiwara operators corresponding to the $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-action on $\Lambda^{s+\infty}$. By [U], Corollary 4.9, there exists a sequence $j_1,\dots j_r$ and operators $\tilde{x}_1,\dots\tilde{x}_r$ with $\tilde{x}_i \in \{\tilde{e}_{j_i},\tilde{f}_{j_i}\}$ such that $\tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle$ is a sum of $l|\lambda|$-dominant vectors. Then

$$\tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r(-v)^{(n-1)|\lambda|}a_\lambda|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle = (-v)^{(n-1)|\lambda|}a_\lambda\tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}.$$

But $\tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r$ defines an isomorphism $\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n} \to \tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}$ which restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{C}[[v]] \to \tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{C}[[v]] = (\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}} \cap \tilde{x}_1\dots\tilde{x}_r\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{C}[[v]].$$

It follows that $(-v)^{(n-1)|\lambda|}a_\lambda|\mu_n,\mathbf{s}_n\rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_n}}$. Hence $(-v)^{(n-1)|\lambda|}a_\lambda\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^{s+\infty}}$ and the first statement of *i)* is proved. The second statement of *i)* is proved in the same way using Lemma 3.3 and [U] Corollary 5.6 i').

By the general theory of canonical bases, $\mathbf{b}^+_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \in \mathbf{B}^+_{\mathbf{s}_l} \cap V_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}$ (see [U], Section 4.4). Hence $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{reg}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \subset \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_l}}$. Statement *ii)* is now a consequence of Lemma 3.2. ■

Theorem. *For any* $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$ *we have* $\mathbf{B}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \subset \mathbf{B}^+_{\mathbf{s}_l} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. By [U], Section 4.4, the basis $\mathbf{B}^+_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ contains the upper canonical basis of the irreducible $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module $V_{\mathbf{s}_l}$. Thus, by Section 1.11

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \in \mathbf{B}^+_{\mathbf{s}_l} \cup \{0\}, \qquad \forall\, \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ap}}.$$

Now any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}$ decomposes as $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(\lambda') + \mathbf{m}(n\mu)$ for some $\lambda' \in \Pi^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mu \in \Pi$. By Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4,

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}} \equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|} a_\mu \mathbf{f}_{\lambda'} \ (\mathrm{mod}\ v\mathcal{L}).$$

Thus, by Proposition 5.2 *ii*) and the fact $\mathbf{b}_{\lambda'} - \mathbf{f}_{\lambda'} \in v\mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|} a_\mu \mathbf{f}_{\lambda'}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \equiv (-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|} a_\mu \mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_l}})$$

Now by Proposition 5.2 *i*),

$$(-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|} a_\mu \mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \equiv |\nu_l,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle$$

for some $\nu_l \in \Pi^l$ if $\mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle \in \mathbf{B}^+_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ and $(-v)^{(n-1)|\mu|} a_\mu \mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle = 0$ if $\mathbf{b}_{\lambda'}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle = 0$. Moreover, by [U], Proposition 4.12, $\overline{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle} = \overline{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}}\ \overline{|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle$. Hence $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle = \mathbf{b}^+_{\nu_l,\mathbf{s}_l}$ in the first case and $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{m}}|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle = 0$ in the second case. ■

Let $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ be the subgraph of the crystal graph of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{U}^-_n|0,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle$. The above theorem implies the following special case of the positivity conjecture of Uglov (see [U], Section 4):

Corollary. *For any* $\mathbf{s}_l \in \mathbb{Z}^l(s)$ *and any* $\lambda_l \in \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathbf{s}_l}$ *we have*

$$\mathbf{b}_{\lambda_l,\mathbf{s}_l} \in \bigoplus_{\mu_l} \mathbb{N}[v]|\mu_l,\mathbf{s}_l\rangle.$$

5 Appendix

6.1 *Proof of Lemma 2.2.* Let us prove that for any $u \in \mathbf{U}^-_n$ we have :

$$[\rho(e_i),\rho(u)] = \rho\Big(\frac{\mathbf{k}_i e''_i(u) - \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} e'_i(u)}{v - v^{-1}}\Big).$$

This result is well-known for $\mathbf{U}^-(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ (see [K], Lemma 3.4.1). Let us prove it for $\mathbf{f}_{k\mathbf{s}}, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We use the presentation of Λ^∞ in terms of Young diagrams and the description of the representation ρ in terms of the Hall algebra $\mathbf{U}^-_\infty$ of the infinite quiver and the quantum enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_\infty)$, as given in [VV]. We keep the notations of [VV], Section 6. In particular, let $\gamma_d : \mathbf{U}^-_n \to \mathbf{U}^-_\infty$ be the map defined in [VV], Section 6; For any $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbb{Z})}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$h(\mathbf{d}) = \sum_{i<j, i\equiv j} d_i(d_{j+1} - d_j), \qquad \mathbf{d}' = \sum_{j<m; j\equiv\, m} d_j \epsilon_m, \qquad \mathbf{k}'' = \sum_{r<k; r\equiv\, k} \epsilon_r.$$

Let $\pi : \mathbb{N}^{(\mathbb{Z})} \to \mathbb{N}^I$ be the reduction modulo n. To avoid confusion, we will denote by $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i$, $\underline{\mathbf{f}}_i$ the elements of $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $\mathbf{U}_n^-$, and by $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i$ the elements of $\mathbf{U}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\infty)$. Finally, to simplify notations, we will write $\sum_{\alpha<\beta}$ for $\sum_{\alpha<\beta;\alpha\equiv\beta}$. We have, in $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}} &= \sum_{\mathbf{d}\in\pi^{-1}(k\mathbf{s})} v^{h(\mathbf{d})}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'},\\
\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i &= \sum_{j\equiv i} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{j}''}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By [VV], Section 5.2, the element $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}|\lambda\rangle$ is zero for all λ if there exists j such that $d_j \notin \{0,1\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i, \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}}] &= \sum_{\substack{j\equiv i\\ \mathbf{d}}} v^{h(\mathbf{d})}[\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{k}_{j''}^{-1}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'}]\\
&= \sum_{\substack{j\equiv i\\ \mathbf{d}}} v^{h(\mathbf{d})}\big(v^{\sum_{l<j}\mathrm{wt}_l(\mathbf{d})}\mathbf{e}_j\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}} - v^{-\sum_{t<m}\mathbf{d}_t\mathrm{wt}_m(-\epsilon_j)}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{e}_j\big)\mathbf{k}_{j''}^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{j\equiv i\\ \mathbf{d}}} v^{h(\mathbf{d})+\sum_{l<j}2d_l-d_{l-1}-d_{l+1}}[\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}]\mathbf{k}_{j''}^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is equal to the ordered product $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}} = \ldots \mathbf{f}_{i-1}^{d_{i-1}}\mathbf{f}_i^{d_i}\mathbf{f}_{i+1}^{d_{i+1}}\ldots$. Then

$$[\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{d}}] = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{r=-\infty}^{j-1}\mathbf{f}_r^{d_r}\right)\frac{\mathbf{k}_j-\mathbf{k}_j^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\left(\prod_{s=j+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{f}_s^{d_s}\right) & if\ d_j = 1\\ \\ 0 & if\ d_j = 0\end{cases}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i, \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}}] &= \sum_{\substack{j\equiv i\\ \mathbf{d}\ s.t\ d_j=1}} v^{h(\mathbf{d})+\sum_{l<j}2d_l-d_{l-1}-d_{l+1}}\left(\prod_{r=-\infty}^{j-1}\mathbf{f}_r^{d_r}\right)\frac{\mathbf{k}_j-\mathbf{k}_j^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\left(\prod_{s=j+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{f}_s^{d_s}\right)\mathbf{k}_{j''}^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{j\equiv i\\ \mathbf{d}\ s.t\ d_j=1}} v^{h(\mathbf{d})+\sum_{l<j}2d_l-d_{l-1}-d_{l+1}}\left(\prod_{r=-\infty}^{j-1}\mathbf{f}_r^{d_r}\right)\left(\prod_{s=j+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{f}_s^{d_s}\right)\frac{v^{d_{j+1}}\mathbf{k}_j - v^{-d_{j+1}}\mathbf{k}_j^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\mathbf{k}_{j''}^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$h(\mathbf{d}) + \sum_{l<j}(2d_l - d_{l-1} - d_{l+1}) = h(\mathbf{d}-\epsilon_j) + \sum_{s>j}(d_{s+1}-d_s) + \sum_{l<j}(d_l - d_{l+1})$$

and

$$\mathbf{k}_{j''}^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}'} = \mathbf{k}_{(\mathbf{d}-\epsilon_j)'}\prod_{t<j}\mathbf{k}_t^{-1}\prod_{r>j}\mathbf{k}_r.$$

Therefore

$$[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i, \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}}] = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}\in\pi^{-1}(k\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i)} v^{h(\tilde{\mathbf{d}})}\mathbf{f}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}}\mathbf{k}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}'}\sum_{\substack{j\equiv i\\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_j=0}} v^{\sum_{s>j}(d_{s+1}-d_s)+\sum_{l<j}(d_l-d_{l+1})}\left(\frac{v^{d_{j+1}}\mathbf{k}_j - v^{-d_{j+1}}\mathbf{k}_j^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}}\right)\prod_{t<j}\mathbf{k}_t^{-1}\prod_{r>j}\mathbf{k}_r.$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{f}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}}|\lambda\rangle \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{k}_t|\lambda\rangle = v|\lambda\rangle$ for all t such that $\tilde{d}_t = 1$. It follows that, in $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i, \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}}] &= \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}} \in \pi^{-1}(k\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i)} v^{h(\tilde{\mathbf{d}})} \mathbf{f}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}} \mathbf{k}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}'} \sum_{\substack{j \equiv i \\ \tilde{\mathbf{d}}_j = 0}} v^{\sum_{s>j} d_{s+1} - \sum_{l<j} d_{l+1}} \left(\frac{v^{d_{j+1}} \mathbf{k}_j - v^{-d_{j+1}} \mathbf{k}_j^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} \right) \prod_{\substack{t<j \\ \tilde{d}_t = 0}} \mathbf{k}_t^{-1} \prod_{\substack{r>j \\ \tilde{d}_r = 0}} \mathbf{k}_r \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}} \in \pi^{-1}(k\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i)} v^{h(\tilde{\mathbf{d}})} \mathbf{f}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}} \mathbf{k}_{\tilde{\mathbf{d}}'} \left(\prod_{t \equiv i} \mathbf{k}_t - \prod_{r \equiv i} \mathbf{k}_r^{-1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that both infinite products make sense as elements of $\mathrm{End}(\Lambda^\infty)$ since for any $\lambda \in \Pi$, $\mathbf{k}_t|\lambda\rangle = |\lambda\rangle$ for all but a finite number of values of t. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i, \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}}] &= \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i} \frac{v\mathbf{k}_i - v^{-1}\mathbf{k}_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} \\
&= \frac{v^{-1}\mathbf{k}_i - v\mathbf{k}_i^{-1}}{v - v^{-1}} \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{k\mathbf{s}-\epsilon_i}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.
Now suppose that Lemma 2.2 holds for $u \in \mathbf{U}_n^-[\alpha]$ and $w \in \mathbf{U}_n^-[\beta]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i)\rho(uw) \\
&\quad = \rho(u)\rho(\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i)\rho(w) + \rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_i e_i''(u) - \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} e_i'(u)}{v - v^{-1}} w \right) \\
&\quad = \rho(uw)\rho(\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i) + \rho\left(u \frac{\mathbf{k}_i e_i''(w) - \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} e_i'(w)}{v - v^{-1}} \right) + \rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_i e_i''(u) - \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} e_i'(u)}{v - v^{-1}} w \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\rho(\underline{\mathbf{e}}_i), \rho(uw)] \\
&\quad = \rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_i (v^{\mathrm{wt}_i(\alpha)} u e_i''(w) + e_i''(u) w) - \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} (v^{-\mathrm{wt}_i(\alpha)} u e_i'(w) + e_i'(u) w)}{v - v^{-1}} \right) \\
&\quad = \rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_i e''(uw) - \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} e_i'(uw)}{v - v^{-1}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. Lemma 2.2 is true for the product uw. This proves Lemma 2.2 since, by [GP] Theorem 3.1, $\mathbf{U}^-_{\mathbb{K},n}$ is generated by $\mathbf{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and the elements $\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$.■

6.2 *Proof of Lemma 3.4.* Fix integers k, l with $k > l$, and let $\mu \in \Pi$, $|\mu| = k - l$. Fix a I-graded vector space V of dimension $k\mathbf{s}$, a subspace $V' \subset V$ of dimension $(k-l)\mathbf{s}$ and choose an element $y \in O_{\mathbf{m}(n\mu)} \subset E_{V'}$. Finally, let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\dim \mathbf{m} = k\mathbf{s}$. By definition,

$$\Delta_{(1)^l,\mu}(\mathbf{1_m})(\mathbf{f}_{l\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{n\mu}) = \# I^{\mathbf{m}}_{|q=v^{-2}} \mathbf{1}_{l\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n\mu},$$

where $I^{\mathbf{m}} = \{x \in O_{\mathbf{m}} \subset E_V \mid x_{|V'} = y,\ \mathrm{Im}\ x \subset V'\}$. Recall that $\# I^{\mathbf{m}}$ is a polynomial in q, and that by the Lang-Weil theorem ([LW]) we have $\# I^{\mathbf{m}} = q^{\dim I^{\mathbf{m}}} + \mathcal{O}(q^{\dim I^{\mathbf{m}} - 1})$. Moreover it follows from [M], (6.17), that $c^{\lambda}_{(1)^l,\mu} \in \{0, 1\}$

and that $I^{\mathbf{m}} = \emptyset$ if $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(n\lambda)$ with $c^{\lambda}_{(1)^l,\mu} = 0$. Hence Lemma 3.4 is equivalent to the following dimension inequalities :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim O_{\mathbf{m}} - \dim O_{n\mu} \geq 2 \dim I^{\mathbf{m}},\\
&\dim O_{\mathbf{m}} - \dim O_{n\mu} = 2 \dim I^{\mathbf{m}} \Leftrightarrow\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(n\lambda) \text{ for some } \lambda \in \Pi \text{ with } c^{\lambda}_{(1)^l,\mu} = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $j \in I$ and for any $x \in O_{\mathbf{m}}$, let us set

$$\begin{aligned}
d^i_j =&\dim \operatorname{Ker} y^i_{|V'_j} - \dim \operatorname{Ker} y^{i-1}_{|V'_j},\\
\tilde{d}^i_j =&\dim \operatorname{Ker} x^i_{|V_j} - \dim \operatorname{Ker} x^{i-1}_{|V_j},\\
\theta^i_j =&\tilde{d}^i_j - d^i_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $I^{\mathbf{m}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\theta^i_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_i \theta^i_j = l$ for all $j \in I$. Note that $d^k_j = d^k_{j'}$ for any k, j, j' since $\mathbf{m}(n\mu) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{per}}$. A direct computation gives

$$\dim O_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_j \left(\sum_{k>i} (\tilde{d}^k_j + \tilde{d}^k_{j-1}) \tilde{d}^i_j \right) = \sum_j \left(\sum_{k>i} (d^k_j + d^k_{j-1} + \theta^k_j + \theta^k_{j-1})(d^i_j + \theta^i_j) \right).$$

Similarly,

$$\dim O_{\mathbf{m}(n\mu)} = \sum_j \left(\sum_{k>i} (d^k_j + d^k_{j-1}) d^i_j \right).$$

Thus,

$$\dim O_{\mathbf{m}} - \dim O_{\mathbf{m}(n\mu)} = \sum_j \left(2 \sum_{k \neq i} \theta^k_j d^i_j + \sum_{k>i} (\theta^k_j + \theta^k_{j-1}) \theta^i_j \right). \tag{5.2}$$

Now we compute $\dim I^{\mathbf{m}}$. Fix a complementary subspace U of V' in V. An element $x \in E_V$ satisfying $\operatorname{Im} x \subset V'$ and $x_{|V'} = y$ is uniquely determined by the collection of maps $x : U_j \to V'_{j+1}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim (\operatorname{Ker} x^k_{|V_j}) &= \dim (\operatorname{Ker} y^k_{|V'_j}) + \dim \operatorname{Ker} x^k_{|U_j} + \dim (x^k(U_j) \cap y^k(V'_j))\\
&= \dim (\operatorname{Ker} y^k_{|V'_j}) + \dim \operatorname{Ker} x_{|U_j} + \dim (x(U_j) \cap (y^{k-1})^{-1}(y^k(V'_j))).
\end{aligned}$$

Set $Y^1_k = \{0\}$ and $Y^k_j = (y^{k-1})^{-1}(y^k(V'_j))$ if $k > 1$. Then, for $k > 1$

$$\dim Y^k_j = \sum_{i<k} d^i_{j+1} + \sum_{i>k} d^i_j = \sum_{i \neq k} d^i_j. \tag{5.3}$$

Now,

$$\dim (\operatorname{Ker} x^k_{|V_j}) - \dim (\operatorname{Ker} x^{k-1}_{|V_j}) = d^k_j + \dim(x(U_j) \cap Y^k_j) - \dim(x(U_j) \cap Y^{k-1}_j).$$

Hence $x \in O_{\mathbf{m}}$ if and only if for all $j \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \mathrm{Ker}\, x_{|U_j} = \theta^1_k, \\ \forall\, k > 1, \qquad &\dim(x(U_j) \cap Y^k_j) - \dim(x(U_j) \cap Y^{k-1}_j) = \theta^k_j. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

The variety X_j of subspaces $W_j \subset V'_{j+1}$ satisfying (5.4) is of dimension

$$\dim X_j = \sum_{l \geq 2} (\dim Y^l_j - \sum_{t=2}^{l} \theta^t_j)\theta^l_j.$$

A direct computation using (5.3) now gives

$$\dim I^{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_j \left(\sum_{k \neq i} \theta^k_j d^i_j + \sum_{k > j} \theta^k_j \theta^i_j \right) \tag{5.5}$$

Thus the dimension inequalities (5.1) are consequences of (5.2), (5.5) and the following result :
Claim. For all collections of positive integers $(\theta^i_j)_{i,j}$, $i = 1, \ldots h$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\sum_i \theta^i_j = l$ there holds

$$\sum_j \left(\sum_{k > i} \theta^k_{j-1} \theta^i_j \right) \geq \sum_j \left(\sum_{k > i} \theta^k_j \theta^i_j \right), \tag{5.6}$$

with equality if and only if $\theta^k_j = \theta^k_{j'}$ for all k, j, j'.

We argue by induction on h to prove (5.6). The claim is trivial if $h = 1$. Suppose that (5.6) is proved for all $h' < h$. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \sum_{k > i} (\theta^k_{j-1}\theta^i_j - \theta^k_j \theta^i_j) &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{k > i}^{h-1} \theta^k_{j-1}\theta^i_j - \theta^k_j\theta^i_j + \sum_{i < h} (l - \sum_{k < h} \theta^k_j)(\theta^i_{j-1} - \theta^i_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{k \geq i}^{h-1} \theta^k_j (\theta^i_j - \theta^i_{j-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Let us freeze variables θ^k_j, $k > 1$ and consider $G((\theta^1_j)) = \sum_j \sum_{k \geq i}^{h-1} \theta^k_j (\theta^i_j - \theta^i_{j-1})$. Then a direct computation shows that $G((\theta^1_j))$ reaches its global minimum when for all j

$$(\theta^1_j - \theta^1_{j-1}) - (\theta^1_{j+1} - \theta^1_j) = \sum_{k=2}^{h-1} (\theta^k_{j+1} + \theta^k_{j-1} - 2\theta^k_j),$$

i.e. when for all j

$$\sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (\theta^k_{j+1} - \theta^k_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (\theta^k_j - \theta^k_{j-1}).$$

Since $\sum_j \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} (\theta^k_{j+1} - \theta^k_j) = 0$, this implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \theta^k_{j+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \theta^k_j$ for all j. But then $\theta^h_j = l - \sum_{k=1}^{h-1} \theta^k_j = \theta^h_{j+1}$, and in this case

$$\sum_j \sum_{k > i}^{h} (\theta^k_{j-1} - \theta^k_j)\theta^i_j = \sum_j \sum_{k > i}^{h-1} (\theta^k_{j-1} - \theta^k_j)\theta^i_j.$$

The result then follows from the induction hypothesis. ■

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor Eric Vasserot for suggesting this problem to me and for his patience and guidance. I am endebted to B. Leclerc for many enlightning discussions and comments on this paper and to A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory for interesting discussions.

References

[GV] Ginzburg V., Vasserot E., *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type* A_n, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **3** (1993), 67-85.

[Gr] Green J.,*Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995) 361-377.

[GP] Guo J.Y., Peng L., *Universal PBW basis of Hall-Ringel algebras amd hall polynomials*, preprint.

[H] Hayashi T., *q-analogues of Clifford and Weyl algebras-spinor and oscillator representations of quantum enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **127** (1990), 129-144.

[JMMO] Jimbo M., Misra K., Miwa T., Okado M., *Combinatorics of representations of* $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ *at* $q = 0$, Comm. Math. Phys. **136** (1991) 543-566.

[K] Kashiwara M., *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math J., **63** (1991), 465-516.

[KMS] Kashiwara M., Miwa T., Stern E., *Decomposition of q-deformed Fock space*, Selecta Math., New Series, **1** (1995), 787-805.

[KT] Kashiwara M., Tanisaki T., *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), 21-62.

[KL] Kazhdan D., Lusztig G., *Affine Lie algebras and quantum groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1991, no.**2**, 21-29.

[LW] Lang S., Weil A., *Number of points of varieties in finite fields*, Amer. J. Math **76** (1954) 819-827.

[LLT1] Lascoux A., Leclerc B., Thibon J-Y., *Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys, **181** 205-263 (1996).

[LLT2] Lascoux A., Leclerc B., Thibon J-Y., *Ribbon Tableaux, Hall-Littlewood functions, quantum affine algebras and unipotent varieties*, J. Math. Phys, **38** no. 2 1041-1068 (1997).

[Le] Leclerc B., *Decomposition numbers and canonical bases*, preprint math/9902006.

[LT] Leclerc B., Thibon J-Y., *Canonical bases of q-deformed Fock spaces*, Inter. Math. Res. Notices, **9** (1996), 447-456.

[LT2] Leclerc B., Thibon J-Y., *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, preprint math/9809122.

[LTV] Leclerc B., Thibon J-Y., Vasserot E., *Zelevinsky's involution at roots of unity*, preprint math/9806060.

[L] Lusztig G., *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Mathematics, **110**. Birkhuser (1993).

[L1] Lusztig G., *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras, I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc, **3** (1990), 447-498.

[L2] Lusztig G., *Quivers, perverse sheaves and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 365-421.

[L3] Lusztig G., *Affine quivers and canonical bases*, Publ. Math., IHES, **76** (1992), 111-163.

[M] MacDonald, I.G., *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993.

[R] Ringel C. M., *Hall algebras*, Topics in Algebra, Banach Center Publ. **26** (1990), 433-447.

[TU] Takemura K., Uglov D., *Representations of the quantum toroidal algebra on highest weight modules of the quantum affine algebra of type* $gl(N)$, math/9806134.

[U] Uglov D., *Canonical bases of higher-level q-deformed Fock spaces and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, math.QA/9905196.

[VV] Varagnolo M., Vasserot E., *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. Journal, to appear.

Olivier Schiffmann, ENS Paris, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75005 PARIS; `schiffma@clipper.ens.fr`